

HODGE STRUCTURES OF THE MODULI SPACES OF PAIRS

VICENTE MUÑOZ

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Fix $n \geq 2$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. A pair (E, ϕ) over X consists of an algebraic vector bundle E of rank n and degree d over X and a section $\phi \in H^0(E)$. There is a concept of stability for pairs which depends on a real parameter τ . Let $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d)$ be the moduli space of τ -semistable pairs of rank n and degree d over X . Here we prove that the cohomology groups of $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d)$ are Hodge structures isomorphic to direct summands of tensor products of the Hodge structure $H^1(X)$. This implies a similar result for the moduli spaces of stable vector bundles over X .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over the field of complex numbers. Fix $n \geq 2$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. We shall denote by $M(n, d)$ the moduli space of (S -equivalence classes of) semistable bundles of rank n and degree d over X . The open subset consisting of stable bundles will be denoted $M^s(n, d) \subset M(n, d)$. Note that $M(n, d)$ is a projective variety, which is in general not smooth if n and d are not coprime. On the other hand, $M^s(n, d)$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety. If L_0 is a fixed line bundle of degree d , then we have the moduli spaces $M^s(n, L_0)$ and $M(n, L_0)$ consisting of stable and polystable bundles E , respectively, with determinant $\det(E) \cong L_0$.

A pair (E, ϕ) over X consists of a bundle E of rank n and degree d over X together with a section $\phi \in H^0(E)$. There is a concept of stability for a pair which depends on the choice of a parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. This gives a collection of moduli spaces of τ -polystable pairs $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d)$, which are projective varieties. It contains a smooth open subset $\mathfrak{M}_\tau^s(n, d) \subset \mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d)$ consisting of τ -stable pairs. If we fix the determinant $\det(E) \cong L_0$, then we have the moduli spaces of pairs with fixed determinant, $\mathfrak{M}_\tau^s(n, L_0)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, L_0)$. Pairs are discussed at length in [3, 4, 8, 12].

The range of the parameter τ is an open interval I split by a finite number of critical values τ_c . For a non-critical value $\tau \in I$, there are no properly semistable pairs, so $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d) = \mathfrak{M}_\tau^s(n, d)$ is smooth and projective. For a critical value $\tau = \tau_c$, $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d)$ is in general singular at properly τ -semistable points. Our first main result is the following.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14D20. Secondary: 14H60, 14F45.

Key words and phrases. Moduli space, complex curve, holomorphic bundle, Hodge structure.

Partially supported through grant MEC (Spain) MTM2007-63582.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\tau \in I$ be non-critical. Then the pure Hodge structures $H^i(\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d))$ are isomorphic to direct summands of some tensor products of $H^1(X)$. A similar result holds for $H^i(\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, L_0))$.*

We recover from this a well-known result of Atiyah [2].

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that n and d are coprime. Then the pure Hodge structures $H^i(M(n, d))$ and $H^i(M(n, L_0))$ are isomorphic to direct summands of some tensor products of $H^1(X)$.*

Our strategy of proof is the following. First, we find more convenient to rephrase the problem in terms of triples. A triple (E_1, E_2, ϕ) consists of a pair of bundles E_1, E_2 of ranks n_1, n_2 and degrees d_1, d_2 , respectively, and a homomorphism $\phi : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$. There is a suitable concept of stability for triples depending on a real parameter σ . This gives rise to moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2)$ of σ -polystable triples.

There is an identification of moduli spaces of pairs and triples given by $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, d, \mathcal{O})$, $(E, \phi) \mapsto (E, \mathcal{O}, \phi)$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the trivial line bundle, and $\sigma = (n+1)\tau - d$. Actually, this rephrasing is a matter of aesthetic. The arguments are carried out with the moduli spaces of triples so that they could eventually be generalised to the case of triples of arbitrary ranks n_1, n_2 .

The range of the parameter σ is an interval $I = (\sigma_m, \sigma_M) \subset \mathbb{R}$ split by a finite number of critical values σ_c . When σ moves without crossing a critical value, then $\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, d_1, d_2)$ remains unchanged, but when σ , crosses a critical value, $\mathcal{N}_\sigma$ undergoes a birational transformation which we call a *flip*. This consists on removing some subvariety and inserting a different one. We give a stratification of the flip locus, and describe explicitly the strata. This allows us to prove Theorem 1.1.

For $\sigma = \sigma_m^+ = \sigma_m + \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$ small, we have a morphism $\mathcal{N}_\sigma \rightarrow M(n, d)$. When d is large enough, this is a fibration over the locus $M^s(n, d)$. This allows us to deduce Corollary 1.2 from Theorem 1.1.

For proving the main result, we introduce the notion of a mixed Hodge structure to be R_X -generated when the graded pieces are pure Hodge structures which are isomorphic to direct summands of tensor products of the Hodge structure $H^1(X)$. We actually obtain the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\tau \in I$ (critical or not). Then the mixed Hodge structures $H^i(\mathfrak{M}_\tau^s(n, d))$ and $H^i(\mathfrak{M}_\tau^s(n, L_0))$ are R_X -generated.*

Let $n \geq 2$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ (coprime or not). Then the mixed Hodge structures $H^i(M^s(n, d))$ and $H^i(M^s(n, L_0))$ are R_X -generated.

We prove this by induction on the rank, since the flip loci can be suitably described in terms of moduli spaces of lower rank. In the course of the proof, we get an explicit geometrical description of the flip loci. This can be useful for many other applications:

- Extend the results of [10] to compute the Hodge-Deligne polynomials of the moduli spaces of pairs for arbitrary rank $n > 3$.
- Compute the K -theory class of the moduli spaces of pairs and the moduli spaces of bundles.
- Prove the Generalized Hodge Conjecture for moduli spaces of pairs and bundles corresponding to curves X which are generic, by using the result of [1].

Acknowledgements: The author is grateful to the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (Mumbai), where part of this work was carried out, for its hospitality.

2. HODGE STRUCTURES

Let us start by recalling the Hodge-Deligne theory of algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$. See the original reference [7] or the nice book [13] for generalities on this.

2.1. Pure Hodge structures. Let H be a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$. A *pure Hodge structure* of weight k on H is a decomposition

$$H_{\mathbb{C}} = H \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q},$$

such that $H^{q,p} = \overline{H^{p,q}}$, the bar denoting complex conjugation in H . A Hodge structure of weight k on H gives rise to the so-called Hodge filtration F on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$, where

$$F^p = \bigoplus_{s \geq p} H^{s,k-s},$$

which is a descending filtration. Note that $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p H_{\mathbb{C}} = F^p / F^{p+1} = H^{p,q}$.

Let $\mathfrak{hs}$ denote the category of pure Hodge structures. This is an abelian category with tensor products. We shall denote by $\mathbb{Q}(l)$ the Hodge structure (of weight $2l$) given by the vector space $H = (2\pi i)^l \mathbb{Q}$ with $H^{l,l} = \mathbb{C}$. The trivial Hodge structure is $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(0)$, and the Hodge structure $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Q}(1)$ is known as the Tate Hodge structure. For any Hodge structure H , the l -th Tate twist is $H(l) := H \otimes \mathbb{T}^{\otimes l} = H \otimes \mathbb{Q}(l)$, which has the same underlying vector space as H , but with grading $H(l)^{p,q} = H^{p-l,q-l}$.

A pure Hodge structure H of weight k is *polarizable* if there exists a morphism of Hodge structures $\theta : H \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(k)$, which is a non-degenerate bilinear map. Let $\mathfrak{phs}$ denote the category whose objects are polarised Hodge structures. This is an abelian sub-category with tensor products of $\mathfrak{hs}$. The category $\mathfrak{phs}$ is semi-simple, that is, if $H' \subset H$ is a sub-Hodge structure of a polarised Hodge structure H , then H' is also polarisable, and there exists another sub-Hodge structure $H'' \subset H$ such that $H = H' \oplus H''$.

Consider the Grothendieck group of $\mathfrak{hs}$. This is the abelian group $K_0(\mathfrak{hs})$ generated by elements $[H]$, where H is a pure Hodge structure, with the relation $[H] = [H'] +$

$[H'']$, whenever there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H' \rightarrow H \rightarrow H'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly, $K_0(\mathfrak{hs})$ is generated by the *simple* Hodge structures. A Hodge structure is simple if it does not admit proper sub-Hodge structures. Note that any polarisable Hodge structure is a direct sum of simple Hodge structures.

Let H be a pure Hodge structure. Then there is a filtration $0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \cdots \subset H_r = H$ such that $\bar{H}_i = H_i/H_{i-1}$ is a simple Hodge structure. In $K_0(\mathfrak{hs})$ we have that H is equivalent to $\bigoplus \bar{H}_i$. Note that the element $\bigoplus \bar{H}_i$ is uniquely defined (up to the order of the summands). We define $\text{Gr}(H) := \bigoplus \bar{H}_i$.

If Z is a compact smooth projective variety (hence compact Kähler) then the cohomology of Z , $H^k(Z)$, admits a Hodge structure given by the Hodge decomposition of harmonic forms into (p, q) types. This is pure of weight k and it is polarised.

2.2. Mixed Hodge structures. Let H be a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$. A *mixed Hodge structure* over H consists of an ascending weight filtration W on H and a descending Hodge filtration F on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that F induces a pure Hodge filtration of weight r on each rational vector space $\text{Gr}_r^W H = W_r/W_{r-1}$. We define $H^{p,q} = \text{Gr}_F^p(\text{Gr}_{p+q}^W H)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{mhs}$ denote the category of mixed Hodge structures. This is an abelian category with tensor products. There is a natural map [13, (3.1)] from $\mathfrak{mhs}$ to $K_0(\mathfrak{hs})$,

$$\Psi : H \mapsto \sum_r [\text{Gr}_r^W H], \quad (2.1)$$

which sends a mixed Hodge structure to a direct sum of pure Hodge structures of different weights.

Deligne has shown [7] that, for each complex algebraic variety Z , the cohomology $H^k(Z)$ and the cohomology with compact support $H_c^k(Z)$ both carry natural (mixed) Hodge structures. If Z is a smooth projective variety then this is the pure Hodge structure previously mentioned.

2.3. Hodge structures R -generated. Let $R \subset \mathfrak{hs}$ be a given collection of simple Hodge structures. We define

$$\langle R \rangle \subset K_0(\mathfrak{hs})$$

as the smallest sub-ring containing all elements of R , and which is closed by taking sub-objects (that is, if $\sum n_i H_i \in \langle R \rangle$, with $n_i \neq 0$, then $H_i \in \langle R \rangle$, for all i), and which is closed under Tate twists.

We say that a Hodge structure $H \in \mathfrak{hs}$ is R -generated if $[H] \in \langle R \rangle$. We say that a mixed Hodge structure $H \in \mathfrak{mhs}$ is R -generated if $\Psi(H) \in \langle R \rangle$.

In general, we shall take a collection of polarised Hodge structures $R = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$. In this case, all Hodge structures in $\langle R \rangle$ are polarisable. Actually, a simple polarised

Hodge structure $H \in \langle R \rangle$ is a sub-Hodge structure of some

$$H \subset H_1^{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes H_m^{k_m}(l),$$

for suitable $k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Equivalently, there is an epimorphism from a tensor product of elements of R to H .

In particular, if Z is a smooth projective variety, and $H^*(Z)$ is R -generated, then $H^k(Z)$ is a sub-Hodge structure of some direct sum of tensor products of Hodge structures in R , for each k .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $Z = Y \sqcup W$. If two of the mixed Hodge structures $H_c^*(Z)$, $H_c^*(Y)$ and $H_c^*(W)$ are R -generated, then so is the third.*

Proof. We can assume that Y is a closed subvariety of Z , and then $W = Z - Y$ is open in W . Suppose that $H_c^*(Y)$ and $H_c^*(W)$ are R -generated, and let us see that $H_c^*(Z)$ is also R -generated. (The other cases are dealt with in an analogous way.) We have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^k(W) \rightarrow H_c^k(Z) \rightarrow H_c^k(Y) \rightarrow H_c^{k+1}(W) \rightarrow H_c^{k+1}(Z) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since Gr_r^W is an exact functor [7], we have an exact sequence for any given r

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_r^W H_c^k(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_r^W H_c^k(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_r^W H_c^k(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_r^W H_c^{k+1}(W) \rightarrow \dots$$

Then we have short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow A_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_r^W H_c^k(Z) \rightarrow B_k \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for each k . Also we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow B_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_r^W H_c^k(Y) \rightarrow C_k \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow C_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_r^W H_c^{k+1}(W) \rightarrow A_{k+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

In $K_0(\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{s})$ we have that $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Gr}_r^W H_c^k(Y)) = \mathrm{Gr}(B_k) \oplus \mathrm{Gr}(C_k)$, hence $\mathrm{Gr}(B_k)$ is also R -generated, being a sub-Hodge structure of an R -generated one. The same is true for $\mathrm{Gr}(A_{k+1})$. This happens for all k . So (2.2) implies that $H_c^k(Z)$ is R -generated. $\square$

We can apply the above to the set $R_{triv} = \emptyset$. The Hodge structures in $\langle R_{triv} \rangle$ are the trivial Hodge structures (that is, those for which $H^{p,q} = 0$ unless $p = q$). Lemma 2.1 has the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that Z admits a stratification $Z = \sqcup Z_i$ where Z_i is a product of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^r$ and/or spaces of the form $\mathbb{A}^r - \mathbb{A}^s$, $0 \leq s < r$. Then $H_c^k(Z)$ is R_{triv} -generated.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.1 and the fact that the Hodge structure of $\mathbb{A}^r$ is trivial. $\square$

Notation: Let X be a smooth projective complex curve of genus $g \geq 2$, and consider the Hodge structure $H^1(X)$. This is a polarised Hodge structure, with the polarisation given by the cup product. We shall denote $R_X = \{H^1(X)\}$.

3. MODULI SPACES OF TRIPLES

Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{C}$. A triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ on X consists of two vector bundles E_1 and E_2 over X , of ranks n_1 and n_2 and degrees d_1 and d_2 , respectively, and a homomorphism $\phi: E_2 \rightarrow E_1$. We shall refer to (n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2) as the type of the triple, and (n_1, n_2) as the rank of the triple.

For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, the σ -slope of T is defined by

$$\mu_\sigma(T) = \frac{d_1 + d_2}{n_1 + n_2} + \sigma \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2}.$$

We say that a triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ is σ -stable if $\mu_\sigma(T') < \mu_\sigma(T)$ for any proper subtriple $T' = (E'_1, E'_2, \phi')$. We define σ -semistability by replacing the above strict inequality with a weak inequality. A triple T is σ -polystable if it is the direct sum of σ -stable triples of the same σ -slope. We denote by

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma(n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2)$$

the moduli space of σ -polystable triples of type (n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2) . This moduli space was constructed in [5] and [14]. It is a complex projective variety. The open subset of σ -stable triples will be denoted by $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2)$.

Let L_1, L_2 be two bundles of degrees d_1, d_2 respectively. Then the moduli spaces of σ -semistable triples $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ with $\det(E_1) = L_1$ and $\det(E_2) = L_2$ will be denoted

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma(n_1, n_2, L_1, L_2),$$

and $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(n_1, n_2, L_1, L_2)$ is the open subset of σ -stable triples.

Let $\mu(E) = \deg(E)/\text{rk}(E)$ denote the slope of a bundle E , and let $\mu_i = \mu(E_i) = d_i/n_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m &= \mu_1 - \mu_2, \\ \sigma_M &= \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{|n_1 - n_2|}\right) (\mu_1 - \mu_2), & \text{if } n_1 \neq n_2, \\ \infty, & \text{if } n_1 = n_2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and let I be the interval $I = (\sigma_m, \sigma_M)$. Then a necessary condition for $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2)$ to be non-empty is that $\sigma \in I$ (see [6]). Note that $\sigma_m > 0$. To study the dependence of the moduli spaces on the parameter σ , we need to introduce the concept of critical value [5, 12].

Definition 3.1. The values $\sigma_c \in I$ for which there exist $0 \leq n'_1 \leq n_1$, $0 \leq n'_2 \leq n_2$, d'_1 and d'_2 , with $n'_1 n_2 \neq n_1 n'_2$, such that

$$\sigma_c = \frac{(n_1 + n_2)(d'_1 + d'_2) - (n'_1 + n'_2)(d_1 + d_2)}{n'_1 n_2 - n_1 n'_2}, \quad (3.1)$$

are called *critical values*. We also consider σ_m and σ_M (when $\sigma_M \neq \infty$) as critical values.

The interval I is split by a finite number of values $\sigma_c \in I$. The stability and semistability criteria for two values of σ lying between two consecutive critical values are equivalent; thus the corresponding moduli spaces are isomorphic. When σ crosses a critical value, the moduli space undergoes a transformation which we call a *flip*. We shall study the flips in some detail in the next section.

Theorem 3.2. *For non-critical values $\sigma \in I$, $\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, d_1, d_2)$ is smooth and projective, and it consists only of σ -stable points (i.e. $\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^s$). For critical values $\sigma = \sigma_c$, $\mathcal{N}_\sigma$ is projective, and the open subset $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s \subset \mathcal{N}_\sigma$ is smooth. In both cases, the dimension of $\mathcal{N}_\sigma$ is $(n^2 - 1)(g - 1) + d_1 - nd_2$.*

Relationship with pairs. A pair (E, ϕ) over X consists of a vector bundle E of rank n and degree d , and $\phi \in H^0(E)$. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that (E, ϕ) is τ -stable (see [8, Definition 4.7]) if:

- For any subbundle $E' \subset E$, we have $\mu(E') < \tau$.
- For any subbundle $E' \subset E$ with $\phi \in H^0(E')$, we have $\mu(E/E') > \tau$.

The concept of τ -semistability is defined by replacing the strict inequalities by weak inequalities. A pair (E, ϕ) is τ -polystable if $E = E' \oplus E''$, where $\phi \in H^0(E')$, (E', ϕ') is τ -stable, and E'' is a polystable bundle of slope τ . The moduli space of τ -polystable pairs is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d)$.

Interpreting $\phi \in H^0(E)$ as a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow E$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the trivial line bundle on X , we have a map $(E, \phi) \mapsto (E, \mathcal{O}, \phi)$ from pairs to triples. The τ -stability of (E, ϕ) corresponds to the σ -stability of $(E, \mathcal{O}, \phi)$, where $\sigma = (n + 1)\tau - d$ (see [5]). Therefore we have an isomorphism of moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, d, 0) \cong \mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d) \times \text{Jac } X, \quad (3.2)$$

given by $(E, L, \phi) \mapsto ((E \otimes L^*, \phi), L)$.

If L_0 is a line bundle of degree d , then $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, L_0)$ denotes the subspace of $\mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, d)$ consisting of pairs (E, ϕ) where $\det(E) \cong L_0$. Note that $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, L_0, \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathfrak{M}_\tau(n, L_0)$.

4. FLIPS FOR THE MODULI SPACES OF PAIRS

The homological algebra of triples is controlled by the hypercohomology of a certain complex of sheaves which appears when studying infinitesimal deformations [6, Section 3]. Let $T' = (E'_1, E'_2, \phi')$ and $T'' = (E''_1, E''_2, \phi'')$ be two triples of types (n'_1, n'_2, d'_1, d'_2) and $(n''_1, n''_2, d''_1, d''_2)$, respectively. Let $\text{Hom}(T'', T')$ denote the linear space of homomorphisms from T'' to T' , and let $\text{Ext}^1(T'', T')$ denote the linear space of equivalence classes of extensions of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow T' \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T'' \longrightarrow 0,$$

where by this we mean a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E'_1 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E''_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \phi' \uparrow & & \phi \uparrow & & \phi'' \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E'_2 & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & E''_2 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

To analyze $\text{Ext}^1(T'', T')$ one considers the complex of sheaves

$$C^\bullet(T'', T') : (E_1''^* \otimes E'_1) \oplus (E_2''^* \otimes E'_2) \xrightarrow{c} E_2''^* \otimes E'_1, \quad (4.1)$$

where the map c is defined by

$$c(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \phi' \psi_2 - \psi_1 \phi''.$$

We introduce the following notation:

$$\mathbb{H}^i(T'', T') = \mathbb{H}^i(C^\bullet(T'', T')).$$

Proposition 4.1 ([6, Proposition 3.1]). *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(T'', T') &\cong \mathbb{H}^0(T'', T'), \\ \text{Ext}^1(T'', T') &\cong \mathbb{H}^1(T'', T'), \end{aligned}$$

and a long exact sequence associated to the complex $C^\bullet(T'', T')$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(T'', T') \longrightarrow H^0((E_1''^* \otimes E'_1) \oplus (E_2''^* \otimes E'_2)) \longrightarrow H^0(E_2''^* \otimes E'_1) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(T'', T') \longrightarrow H^1((E_1''^* \otimes E'_1) \oplus (E_2''^* \otimes E'_2)) \longrightarrow H^1(E_2''^* \otimes E'_1) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(T'', T') \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall use the following results later:

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that T' , T'' are triples such that T' is σ -stable and T'' is σ -semistable. Then $\mathbb{H}^0(T', T'') = 0$ unless T'' contains a subtriple isomorphic to T' , in which case $\mathbb{H}^0(T', T'') = \mathbb{C}$.*

Lemma 4.3 ([11, Lemma 3.10]). *If $T'' = (E_1'', E_2'', \phi'')$ is an injective triple, that is $\phi'' : E_2'' \rightarrow E_1''$ is injective, then $\mathbb{H}^2(T'', T') = 0$.*

Fix the type (n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2) for the moduli spaces of triples. For brevity, write $\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2)$. Let $\sigma_c \in I$ be a critical value and set

$$\sigma_c^+ = \sigma_c + \epsilon, \quad \sigma_c^- = \sigma_c - \epsilon,$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough so that σ_c is the only critical value in the interval (σ_c^-, σ_c^+) .

Definition 4.4. We define the *flip loci* as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+} &= \{T \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+}^s ; T \text{ is } \sigma_c^- \text{-unstable}\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+}^s, \\ \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-} &= \{T \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-}^s ; T \text{ is } \sigma_c^+ \text{-unstable}\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-}^s. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (see [6, Lemma 5.3])

$$\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+}^s - \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-}^s - \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}.$$

For a triple T , we denote $\lambda(T) = \frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2}$. If $T \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$, then there is a subtriple $T' \subset T$ satisfying

$$\mu_{\sigma_c}(T') = \mu_{\sigma_c}(T), \text{ and } \lambda(T') < \lambda(T).$$

Conversely, if $T \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$, then there exists a subtriple $T' \subset T$ satisfying

$$\mu_{\sigma_c}(T') = \mu_{\sigma_c}(T), \text{ and } \lambda(T') > \lambda(T).$$

Clearly, in both cases, T is properly σ_c -semistable.

From now on we shall restrict to the case of triples of rank $(n, 1)$. Denote

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, d, d_o),$$

the moduli space of σ -semistable triples (E, L, ϕ) , where L is a line bundle of degree d_o , E is a bundle of rank n and degree d , and $\phi : L \rightarrow E$. Let σ_c be a critical value for the moduli spaces of type $(n, 1, d, d_o)$. We want to describe a stratification of $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^\pm}$ by suitable locally closed subvarieties.

Lemma 4.5. *Let σ_c be a critical value. Let $T \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^\pm}$. Then there is a unique filtration $0 = T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \dots \subset T_r = T$ such that T_i/T_{i-1} is the maximal σ_c -polystable subtriple of T/T_{i-1} of the same σ_c -slope.*

Proof. We only need to prove that there is a unique maximal σ_c -polystable subtriple for any given triple T . If T_1 and T'_1 are two σ_c -polystable subtriples of T , then the subtriple $T_1 + T'_1 \subset T$ sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_1 \cap T'_1 \rightarrow T_1 \oplus T'_1 \rightarrow T_1 + T'_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.2)$$

As $\mu_{\sigma_c}(T_1 \oplus T'_1) \leq \mu_{\sigma_c}(T_1 + T'_1) \leq \mu_{\sigma_c}(T) = \mu_{\sigma_c}(T_1) = \mu_{\sigma_c}(T'_1)$, we have that all the triples in (4.2) have the same σ_c -slope. Therefore $T_1 + T'_1$ is a quotient of the σ_c -polystable triple $T_1 \oplus T'_1$, hence it is itself σ_c -polystable. This contradicts the maximality of either T_1 or T'_1 . $\square$

Definition 4.6. We shall call *standard filtration* to the filtration provided by Lemma 4.5. We shall write $\bar{T}_i = T_i/T_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Remark 4.7. The result in Lemma 4.5 is true for triples of any type (n_1, n_2, d_1, d_2) , for any σ and any $T \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma$. Note that if T is σ -stable then the standard filtration is $0 \subset T$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $0 = T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \dots \subset T_r = T$ be the standard filtration of T , and let $\bar{T}_i = T_i/T_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

- *If $T \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$ then $\bar{T}_r$ is a σ_c -stable triple of type $(n', 1)$, and $\bar{T}_i$, $1 \leq i < r$, are triples of the form $(F_i, 0, 0)$, where F_i are polystable bundles all of the same slope. Moreover, $n' > 0$ if $\sigma_c > \sigma_m$ and $n' = 0$ if $\sigma_c = \sigma_m$.*

- If $T \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$ then $\bar{T}_1$ is a σ_c -stable triple of type $(n', 1)$, and $\bar{T}_i$, $1 < i \leq r$, are triples of the form $(F_i, 0, 0)$, where F_i are polystable bundles all of the same slope. Moreover, always $n' > 0$.

Proof. Let $T = (E, L, \phi)$, and recall that L is a line bundle of degree d_o .

Let $T \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$, and let $0 = T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \cdots \subset T_r = T$ be its standard filtration. Then T/T_{r-1} is a quotient of T . As T is σ_c^+ -stable, $\bar{T}_r = T/T_{r-1}$ is of rank $(n', 1)$ and $\mu_{\sigma_c}(\bar{T}_r) = \mu_{\sigma_c}(T) =: \mu_c$. Therefore, T_{r-1} is of rank $(n - n', 0)$, and hence all $\bar{T}_i$ are of rank $(n_i, 0)$, for $i < r$. So all of the triples $\bar{T}_i$ are direct sums of triples of the form $(F_{ij}, 0, 0)$, where F_{ij} are stable bundles of the same slope, $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$, $1 \leq j \leq k_i$. Note that

$$\mu(F_{ij}) = \mu_{\sigma_c}(\bar{T}_i) = \mu_c,$$

so all the bundles are of the same slope. Moreover, $\bar{T}_r$ should be σ_c -polystable. It cannot have summands of rank $(n'', 0)$ and σ_c -slope μ_c , since this would imply that T has such a quotient, and hence it cannot be σ_c^+ -stable. So $\bar{T}_r$ is σ_c -stable triple of the form (E', L, ϕ') .

If $\sigma_c > \sigma_m$ then ϕ' is injective, so $n' > 0$. If $\sigma_c = \sigma_m$, then [12, Proposition 4.10] tells us that all σ_c -semistable triples are not σ_c -stable, unless $n' = 0$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$, and let $0 = T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \cdots \subset T_r = T$ be its standard filtration. Then $T_1 \subset T$ must be of rank $(n', 1)$, by the σ_c^- -stability of T . In principle, we could say that T_1 is σ_c -polystable, but it is actually σ_c -stable, since otherwise it has a subtriple of type $(n'', 0)$ but then T cannot be σ_c^- -stable. Therefore T/T_1 is of rank $(n - n', 0)$, and hence all $\bar{T}_i$ are of rank $(n_i, 0)$, for $i > 1$. So all of the triples $\bar{T}_i$ are direct sums of triples of the form $(F_{ij}, 0, 0)$, where F_{ij} are stable bundles of the same slope $\mu(F_{ij}) = \mu_{\sigma_c}(\bar{T}_i) = \mu_c := \mu_{\sigma_c}(T)$. Moreover $\mu_{\sigma_c}(\bar{T}_1) = \mu_c$ and T_1 is a σ_c -stable triple of the form (E', L, ϕ') . Note that $\sigma_c > \sigma_m$ (since we are dealing with $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$), so $n' > 0$. $\square$

5. STRATIFICATION OF THE FLIP LOCI

Let σ_c be a critical value. In this section we want to describe a stratification of the flip loci $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^\pm}$. Recall that we are dealing with the moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, d, d_o)$.

5.1. The flip locus $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$. Now we want to describe geometrically $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$. We stratify $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$ according to the types of the triples in the standard filtration. Let us fix some notation: let $b \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$. Fix $n' \geq 1$ and d' such that

$$\frac{d' + \sigma_c}{n' + 1} = \mu_{\sigma_c}(T) =: \mu_c. \quad (5.1)$$

Let $(n_1, d_1), \dots, (n_b, d_b)$ satisfy

$$\frac{d_i}{n_i} = \mu_c. \quad (5.2)$$

Consider $a_{ij} \geq 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq b$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, such that $(a_{1j}, \dots, a_{bj}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, for all j . We assume that

$$\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} n_i + n' = n. \quad (5.3)$$

Write $\mathbf{a}_j = (a_{1j}, \dots, a_{bj})$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, and $\mathbf{n} = \{(n_i, d_i, a_{ij}) ; 1 \leq i \leq b, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$. Consider

$$\tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}) = \{(E_1, \dots, E_b) \in M^s(n_1, d_1) \times \dots \times M^s(n_b, d_b) ; E_i \not\cong E_j, \text{ for } i \neq j\}. \quad (5.4)$$

For each $(E_1, \dots, E_b) \in \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n})$, set $S_i = (E_i, 0, 0)$, $1 \leq i \leq b$.

We define $X^+(\mathbf{n}) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$ as the subset formed by those triples T whose standard filtration is $0 = T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \dots \subset T_{r+1} = T$, such that

$$\bar{T}_j = T_j/T_{j-1} \cong S(\mathbf{a}_j) := S_1^{a_{1j}} \oplus \dots \oplus S_b^{a_{bj}}, \quad (5.5)$$

for some $(E_1, \dots, E_b) \in \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n})$. Note that it must be $\bar{T}_{r+1} \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^s}^s(n', 1, d', d_o)$. By Lemma 4.8, the subsets $X^+(\mathbf{n})$ stratify $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$, for all possibilities for $\mathbf{n}$ as above. That is,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n}} X^+(\mathbf{n}).$$

To describe $X^+(\mathbf{n})$, first note that the presentation (5.5) is not unique. The finite group

$$F = \{\tau \text{ permutation of } (1, \dots, b) ; n_{\tau(i)} = n_i, a_{\tau(i)j} = a_{ij} \forall i, j\} \quad (5.6)$$

acts (freely) on $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{n})$ by permuting the bundles. Let $U(\mathbf{n}) = \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n})/F$. There is a map

$$X^+(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow U(\mathbf{n}) \times \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^s}^s(n', 1, d', d_o). \quad (5.7)$$

The pull-back of (5.7) under $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow U(\mathbf{n})$ is

$$\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n}) := \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}) \times \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^s}^s(n', 1, d', d_o).$$

and F acts on $\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n})$ so that $X^+(\mathbf{n}) = \tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n})/F$.

We shall construct an affine bundle over $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n})$ parametrizing iterated extensions, and an open subset $\tilde{\tilde{X}}^+(\mathbf{n})$ of it, parametrizing those extensions corresponding to σ_c^+ -stable triples. In this way, we shall get quotient maps

$$\tilde{\tilde{X}}^+(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow X^+(\mathbf{n}).$$

The first quotient corresponds to the automorphisms of the extensions, and the second one to permuting the order of the S_i 's.

To do this, we start by describing the elements in $X^+(\mathbf{n})$ more explicitly.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(E_1, \dots, E_b, \bar{T}_{r+1}) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n})$. Define triples $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ by downward recursion as follows: $\bar{\bar{T}}_{r+1} = \bar{T}_{r+1}$ and for $1 \leq j \leq r$ define $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ as an extension*

$$0 \rightarrow S(\mathbf{a}_j) \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_j \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Let $\xi_j \in \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j))$ be the extension class corresponding to (5.8). Denote $T = \bar{\bar{T}}_1$. Then $T \in X^+(\mathbf{n})$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The extension class $\xi_j \in \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) = \prod_i \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S_i)^{a_{ij}}$ lives in $\prod_i V(a_{ij}, \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S_i))$, with the notation $V(k, W) = \{(w_1, \dots, w_k) \in W^k ; w_1, \dots, w_k \text{ are linearly independent}\}$, for W a vector space.
- (2) Consider the map $S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}) \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$ and the element ξ'_j which is the image of ξ_j under $\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S(\mathbf{a}_j))$. Then the class $\xi'_j \in \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S(\mathbf{a}_j)) = \prod_i \text{Ext}^1(S_i, S(\mathbf{a}_j))^{a_{i,j+1}}$ lives in $\prod_i V(a_{i,j+1}, \text{Ext}^1(S_i, S(\mathbf{a}_j)))$.

Two extensions ξ_j give rise to isomorphic $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ if and only if the triples $\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$ are isomorphic and the extension classes are the same up to action of the group $\text{GL}(\mathbf{a}_j) := \text{GL}(a_{1j}) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(a_{bj})$.

Proof. Assume that $T \in X^+(\mathbf{n})$. So T is σ_c^+ -stable. As $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ is a quotient of T with the same σ_c -slope, it is also σ_c^+ -stable (if not, there would be a quotient $\bar{\bar{T}}_j \rightarrow T''$ with the same σ_c -slope, but with $\lambda(T'') = 0$; this would violate the σ_c^+ -stability of T since $T \rightarrow T''$).

Now let us prove (1) by downward induction on j . If ξ_j does not live in $\prod_i V(a_{ij}, \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S_i))$, then there is some i_o and some linear projection $\prod_i \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S_i)^{a_{ij}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S_{i_o})$ under which ξ_j maps to zero. This corresponds to a quotient $S(\mathbf{a}_j) \rightarrow S_{i_o}$. Let $\tilde{S}$ be the subbundle defined as the kernel $\tilde{S} \hookrightarrow S(\mathbf{a}_j) \rightarrow S_{i_o}$. Then the class ξ_j lies in the image of $\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, \tilde{S}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j))$. This produces an extension $0 \rightarrow \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{T} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1} \rightarrow 0$, such that $\tilde{T} \subset \bar{\bar{T}}_j$ is a subtriple with $\lambda(\tilde{T}) > 0$, violating the σ_c^+ -stability of $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$.

Conversely, T defined as in the statement is σ_c -semistable. All $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ are extensions of σ_c -semistable objects of the same σ_c -slope, so all of them are σ_c -semistable of the same σ_c -slope. Assume now that we know that $\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$ is σ_c^+ -stable. If $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ is not σ_c^+ -stable, then there should be a subtriple $\tilde{T} \subset \bar{\bar{T}}_j$ with $\lambda(\tilde{T}) > 0$ and $\mu_{\sigma_c}(\tilde{T}) = \mu_{\sigma_c}(\bar{\bar{T}}_j)$. This lies in an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \tilde{S} & \rightarrow & \tilde{T} & \rightarrow & \tilde{T}'' \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ 0 & \rightarrow & S(\mathbf{a}_j) & \rightarrow & \bar{\bar{T}}_j & \rightarrow & \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1} \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

It is clear that all the triples are of the same σ_c -slope, and that $\lambda(\tilde{T}'') > 0$. Therefore the σ_c^+ -stability of $\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$ implies that $\tilde{T}'' = \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$. Therefore the extension ξ_j maps to zero under

$$\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)/\tilde{S}).$$

Take i_o such that there is a quotient $S(\mathbf{a}_j)/\tilde{S} \twoheadrightarrow S_{i_o}$. We see that ξ_j maps to zero under $\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S_{i_o})$, so $\xi_j \notin \prod_i V(a_{ij}, \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S_i))$.

Regarding (2), assume that $T \in X^+(\mathbf{n})$ at let us see that the class ξ'_j satisfies the condition (2) by downward induction on j . First suppose that $\xi'_j \notin \prod_i V(a_{i,j+1}, \text{Ext}^1(S_i, S(\mathbf{a}_j)))$. Then there is some $S_{i_o} \subset S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1})$ such that ξ_j maps

to zero under $\prod_i \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{i_o}, S(\mathbf{a}_j))$. The pull-back of the extension (5.8) under $S_{i_o} \hookrightarrow S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}) \subset \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & S(\mathbf{a}_j) & \rightarrow & \tilde{T} & \rightarrow & S_{i_o} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \cap & & \cap \\ 0 & \rightarrow & S(\mathbf{a}_j) & \rightarrow & \bar{\bar{T}}_j & \rightarrow & \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1} \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

is split. Therefore there is a polystable subtriple $S(\mathbf{a}_j) \oplus S_{i_o} \subset \bar{\bar{T}}_j$. This violates the fact that the standard filtration of T is of given type $\mathbf{n}$.

Conversely, assume that (2) is satisfied and let us see that the standard filtration of T is of type $\mathbf{n}$. We can see this by downward induction on j . Assume that it is known for $j+1$. We consider the exact sequence $S(\mathbf{a}_j) \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_j \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$. If the maximal σ_c -polystable subtriple of $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ is not $S(\mathbf{a}_j)$, then there is some i_o such that $S(\mathbf{a}_j) \oplus S_{i_o} \subset \bar{\bar{T}}_j$. Therefore there is some inclusion $S_{i_o} \hookrightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$ such that the extension class ξ_j maps to zero under

$$\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_{i_o}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)).$$

Clearly, $S_{i_o} \subset S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}) \subset \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$. Therefore ξ'_j does not satisfy (2).

Finally, let us prove the last assertion. Again the uniqueness of the standard filtration implies that if two triples T, T' are isomorphic, then the associated triples $\bar{\bar{T}}_j, \bar{\bar{T}}'_j$ are isomorphic for all j . By downward induction, we can assume that $\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1} = \bar{\bar{T}}'_{j+1}$. We have isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & S(\mathbf{a}_j) & \rightarrow & \bar{\bar{T}}_j & \rightarrow & \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & S(\mathbf{a}_j) & \rightarrow & \bar{\bar{T}}'_j & \rightarrow & \bar{\bar{T}}'_{j+1} \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (5.9)$$

As $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ is σ_c^+ -stable, the only automorphisms of it are multiplication by non-zero scalars. On the other hand, $\text{Aut}(S(\mathbf{a}_j)) = \text{GL}(a_{1j}) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(a_{bj}) = \text{GL}(\mathbf{a}_j)$. This group acts on the space of extensions. After an action by an element of $\text{GL}(\mathbf{a}_j)$, we can assume that the first vertical arrow of (5.9) is an equality. Therefore the extensions are equivalent. $\square$

Remark 5.2. The description in Proposition 5.1 can be applied to the critical value $\sigma_c = \sigma_m$. In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+} = \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_m^+}$. The only difference is that now $\bar{\bar{T}}_{r+1}$ should be of the form $L \rightarrow 0$, that is, $\bar{\bar{T}}_{r+1} \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^s}^s(0, 1, 0, d_o) = \text{Jac}^{d_o} X$.

Note that there is an open stratum in $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_m^+}$ corresponding to $r = 1, b = 1, \mathbf{a}_1 = (a_{11} = 1), \mathbf{n}_o = (n, d, a_{11})$. In this case

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n}_o) = M^s(n, d) \times \text{Jac}^{d_o} X.$$

It corresponds to triples $\phi : L \rightarrow E$ for which E is a stable bundle. We shall denote this open stratum and its complement as

$$\mathcal{U}_m \subset \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+}, \quad \mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+} - \mathcal{U}_m.$$

5.2. The flip locus $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$. There is an analogous description of $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$. As before, let $b \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$. Fix $n' \geq 1$ and d' satisfying (5.1). Let $(n_1, d_1), \dots, (n_b, d_b)$ satisfy (5.2). Consider $a_{ij} \geq 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq b$ and $2 \leq j \leq r+1$, such that $\mathbf{a}_j = (a_{1j}, \dots, a_{bj}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, for all j . We assume (5.3). Write $\mathbf{n} = \{(n_i, d_i, a_{ij}) ; 1 \leq i \leq b, 2 \leq j \leq r+1\}$. We consider $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{n})$ as in (5.4).

We define $X^-(\mathbf{n}) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$ as the subset formed by those triples T whose standard filtration is $0 = T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \dots \subset T_{r+1} = T$, such that

$$\bar{T}_j = T_j/T_{j-1} \cong S(\mathbf{a}_j) := S_1^{a_{1j}} \oplus \dots \oplus S_b^{a_{bj}}, \quad (5.10)$$

for some $(E_1, \dots, E_b) \in U(\mathbf{n})$, $2 \leq j \leq r+1$. It must be $T_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s(n', 1, d', d_o)$. By Lemma 4.8, the subsets $X^-(\mathbf{n})$ stratify $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$, for all possible choices of $\mathbf{n}$. That is,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n}} X^-(\mathbf{n}).$$

Note again that the finite group F given in (5.6) acts on $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{n})$, and that the pull-back of the map

$$X^-(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}) \times \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s(n', 1, d', d_o).$$

under $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow U(\mathbf{n}) = \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n})/F$ is

$$\tilde{X}^-(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n}) := \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}) \times \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s(n', 1, d', d_o).$$

and $X^-(\mathbf{n}) = \tilde{X}^-(\mathbf{n})/F$.

The proof of the following result is analogous to that of Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $(E_1, \dots, E_b, T_1) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n})$. Define triples $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ by recursion as follows: $\bar{\bar{T}}_1 = T_1$, and for $2 \leq j \leq r+1$ define $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ as an extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_j \rightarrow S(\mathbf{a}_j) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\xi_j \in \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_j), \bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1})$ be the corresponding extension class. Denote $T = \bar{\bar{T}}_{r+1}$. Then $T \in X^-(\mathbf{n})$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) *The extension class $\xi_j \in \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_j), \bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1}) = \prod_i \text{Ext}^1(S_i, \bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1})^{a_{ij}}$ lives in $\prod_i V(a_{ij}, \text{Ext}^1(S_i, \bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1}))$.*
- (2) *Consider the map $\bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1} \rightarrow S(\mathbf{a}_{j-1})$ and the element ξ'_j which is the image of ξ_j under $\text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_j), \bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_j), S(\mathbf{a}_{j-1}))$. Then the element $\xi'_j \in \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_j), S(\mathbf{a}_{j-1})) = \prod_i \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_j), S_i)^{a_{i,j-1}}$ lives in $\prod_i V(a_{i,j-1}, \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_j), S_i))$.*

Two extensions ξ_j give rise to isomorphic $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ if and only if the triples $\bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1}$ are isomorphic and the extension classes are the same up to action of the group $\text{GL}(\mathbf{a}_j) := \text{GL}(a_{1j}) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(a_{bj})$.

Remark 5.4. This description is also valid for $\sigma_c = \sigma_M$, with no change. In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_M}^- = \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_M^-}$.

Again for $\sigma_c = \sigma_M$, there is an open stratum, corresponding to the case $r = 1$, $b = 1$, $(n', d') = (1, d_o)$, $\mathbf{a}_1 = (a_{11} = 1)$, $\mathbf{n}_o = (n - 1, d - d_o, 1)$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s(1, 1, d_o, d_o) = \text{Jac}^{d_o}(X)$, and $(n_1, d_1) = (n - 1, d - d_o)$, so

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n}_0) = M^s(n - 1, d - d_o) \times \text{Jac}^{d_o} X.$$

The elements of $\tilde{X}^-(\mathbf{n}_0) = X^-(\mathbf{n}_0)$ correspond to extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & L & \rightarrow & L & \rightarrow & 0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & L & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & F \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $F \in M^s(n - 1, d - d_o)$ and $L \in \text{Jac}^{d_o} X$. This is the open stratum considered in [6, section 7.2], which is a dense open subset of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_M}^-$.

6. R_X -GENERATION OF THE FLIP LOCI

Let F be a quasi-projective variety. We say that F is *affinely stratified* (abbreviated A.S.) if there is a stratification $F = \sqcup F_i$ such that each F_i is a product of varieties of the form $\mathbb{A}^r$ or $\mathbb{A}^r - \mathbb{A}^s$, $0 \leq s < r$. Note that Corollary 2.2 implies that its cohomology is a trivial Hodge structure.

Let σ_c be a critical value, and fix a type $\mathbf{n}$ corresponding to it. We want to construct an affine bundle over $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{n})$ parametrizing iterated extensions, and an open subset $\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n})$ of it, parametrizing those extensions corresponding to σ_c^+ -stable triples. We start with

$$M_{r+1} = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n}) = \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}) \times \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s(n', 1, d', d_o),$$

and define spaces M_j by downward induction as follows: M_j is the space parametrizing extensions (5.8). More precisely, if M_{j+1} is already constructed, then we define a fiber bundle

$$\pi_j : \bar{M}_j \rightarrow M_{j+1}$$

whose fibers are the spaces $\text{Ext}^1(\bar{T}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j))$. Then any element in $\bar{M}_j$ gives rise to a triple $\bar{T}_j$. Let $M_j \subset \bar{M}_j$ be the subset of those extensions ξ_j satisfying (1) and (2) of Proposition 5.1, that is, when the corresponding triple $\bar{T}_j$ is σ_c^+ -stable. We denote

$$p_j : M_j \rightarrow M_{j+1}$$

the restriction of π_j to M_j . The space we are interested in is $\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}) := M_1$.

Theorem 6.1. *The fibration $p_j : M_j \rightarrow M_{j+1}$ is a locally trivial fibration (in the Zariski topology) whose fiber F_j is A.S.*

Proof. Fix a point in M_{j+1} . This determines a σ_c^+ -stable triple $\bar{T}_{j+1}$. Note that $\mathbb{H}^0(\bar{T}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) = 0$ since $\bar{T}_{j+1}$ is σ_c^+ -stable, $\bar{T}_{j+1}$ and $S(\mathbf{a}_j)$ are of the same σ_c -slope and $S(\mathbf{a}_j)$ is σ_c -polystable and does not contain a copy of $\bar{T}_{j+1}$ (see Lemma 4.2). Also $\mathbb{H}^2(\bar{T}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) = 0$ by Lemma 4.3. So $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\bar{T}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j))$ is constant, and therefore $\pi_j : \bar{M}_j \rightarrow M_{j+1}$ is a vector bundle, locally trivial in the Zariski topology.

We want to understand the fiber F_j of $p_j : M_j \rightarrow M_{j+1}$, which consists of those extensions in $\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j))$ satisfying (1) and (2) of Proposition 5.1. The triple $\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}$, being an element in M_{j+1} , actually sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+2} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1} \rightarrow S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

So there is an associated long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S(\mathbf{a}_j)) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+2}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Clearly, $\dim \text{Hom}(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S(\mathbf{a}_j)) = \sum_i a_{ij} a_{i,j+1}$ is constant (independent of the point in the base space M_{j+1}), and so the dimensions of all the spaces in (6.1) are constant.

We aim to prove that F_j is A.S. Note that if we have a fibration $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ in which both F and B are A.S., then so is E (stratify B by affine sets, and note that the fibration should be trivial over each one of them).

We obtain that F_j is A.S. as a consequence of the following claims.

Claim 1. *Let $a, b \geq 1$ be integers. Consider*

$$M_{ab}(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C}^a \otimes \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Let $0 \leq r \leq \min\{a, b\}$ and $U_r = \{f \in M_{ab}(\mathbb{C}) ; \text{rg}(f) = r\}$. Then U_r is A.S. There are vector bundles $K_1, K_2 \rightarrow U_r$ (of ranks $a - r, b - r$ resp.), defined by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{1,f} &= \ker(f : \mathbb{C}^a \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^*), \\ K_{2,f} &= \ker(f : \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^a)^*), \quad \text{for } f \in U_r \subset M_{ab}(\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is well-known. Let us give a short proof for completeness. Take a full flag $0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_a = \mathbb{C}^a$. Fix integers $0 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_a = r$, with the condition that $d_{i+1} - d_i \leq 1$, and write $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_a)$. Consider the set

$$U_{\mathbf{d}} = \{f ; \text{rg}(f|_{F_j}) = d_j, \forall j\}.$$

First we see that $U_{\mathbf{d}}$ is A.S. Define $U_{\mathbf{d},l} = \{f : F_l \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^* ; \text{rg}(f|_{F_j}) = d_j, \forall j \leq l\}$, $1 \leq l \leq a$. Then $U_{\mathbf{d},l+1} \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{d},l}$ is a fiber bundle, whose fiber is

$$\begin{cases} \text{Hom}(F_{l+1}/F_l, \text{im}(f|_{F_l})), & \text{if } d_{l+1} = d_l, \\ \text{Hom}(F_{l+1}/F_l, \mathbb{C}^b) - \text{Hom}(F_{l+1}/F_l, \text{im}(f|_{F_l})), & \text{if } d_{l+1} = d_l + 1. \end{cases}$$

The first case is an affine space, the second is the difference of two affine spaces. So both are A.S. spaces. This implies that $U_{\mathbf{d}} = U_{\mathbf{d},a}$ and $U_r = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{d}} U_{\mathbf{d}}$ are A.S. The assertion about K_1, K_2 is clear. $\square$

Claim 2. *Let $a, b \geq 1$ be integers and let V be a finite dimensional vector space. Consider*

$$M_{ab}(V) = \{f : \mathbb{C}^a \otimes \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow V\}.$$

Let $0 \leq r \leq a$, $0 \leq s \leq b$, and define the subset

$$U_{r,s} = \{f \in M_{ab}(V) ; \operatorname{rg}(f : \mathbb{C}^a \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^* \otimes V) = r, \operatorname{rg}(f : \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^a)^* \otimes V) = s\}.$$

Then $U_{r,s}$ is A.S. There are vector bundles $K_1, K_2 \rightarrow U_{r,s}$ (of ranks $a - r$, $b - s$ resp.), defined by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{1,f} &= \ker(f : \mathbb{C}^a \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^* \otimes V), \\ K_{2,f} &= \ker(f : \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^a)^* \otimes V), \quad \text{for } f \in U_{r,s}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider a decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$, into 1-dimensional vector subspaces V_i . Let $\bar{V}_l = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_l$, $1 \leq l \leq k$, and denote by $\pi_l : V \rightarrow \bar{V}_l$ the projection. For collections of integers $0 \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_k = r$ and $0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k = s$, denote $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$, $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$, and define the subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l} &= \{f : \mathbb{C}^a \otimes \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow \bar{V}_l ; \operatorname{rg}(\pi_l \circ f : \mathbb{C}^a \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^* \otimes \bar{V}_l) = r_l, \\ &\quad \operatorname{rg}(\pi_l \circ f : \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^a)^* \otimes \bar{V}_l) = s_l, \forall i \leq l\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq l \leq k$, and $U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}} := U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},k}$. Let us check that $U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l}$ is A.S. For $l = 1$, this is the content of Claim 1 (in case $r_1 \neq s_1$, this set is empty). For $l > 0$, we have a natural map

$$U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l+1} \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l}, \quad f \mapsto \pi_l \circ f.$$

By induction, there are vector bundles $K_1, K_2 \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l}$, of ranks $a - r_l$, $b - s_l$, resp., such that $K_{1,f_0} = \ker(f_0 : \mathbb{C}^a \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^* \otimes \bar{V}_l)$, $K_{2,f_0} = \ker(f_0 : \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^a)^* \otimes \bar{V}_l)$, for $f_0 \in U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l}$.

Consider now $0 \leq i \leq \min\{r_{l+1} - r_l, s_{l+1} - s_l\}$, and define

$$U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l+1}^i = \{f \in U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l+1} ; \operatorname{rg}(f : K_{1,\pi_l \circ f} \otimes K_{2,\pi_l \circ f} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{l+1}/\bar{V}_l = V_{l+1}) = i\}.$$

Clearly, we have an stratification $U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l+1} = \bigsqcup_i U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l+1}^i$. The set

$$B_i = \{(f_0, f_1) ; f_0 \in U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l}, f_1 : K_{1,f_0} \otimes K_{2,f_0} \rightarrow V_{l+1}, \operatorname{rg}(f_1) = i\}$$

is a bundle over $U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l}$, and the fibers are A.S. by Claim 1. So B_i are A.S. There are vector bundles $K_1, K_2 \rightarrow B_i$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{1,(f_0,f_1)} &= \ker(f_1 : K_{1,f_0} \rightarrow (K_{2,f_0})^* \otimes V_{l+1}) \subset K_{1,f_0}, \\ K_{2,(f_0,f_1)} &= \ker(f_1 : K_{2,f_0} \rightarrow (K_{1,f_0})^* \otimes V_{l+1}) \subset K_{2,f_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and they are of ranks $a - r_l - i$, $b - s_l - i$, resp.

There is a natural map $U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},l+1}^i \rightarrow B_i$, which is a fiber bundle. To see this, consider $(f_0, f_1) \in B_i$, and let us find the fiber over it. Fix decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^a &= (\mathbb{C}^a / K_{1,f_0}) \oplus (K_{1,f_0} / K_{1,(f_0,f_1)}) \oplus K_{1,(f_0,f_1)}, \\ \mathbb{C}^b &= (\mathbb{C}^b / K_{2,f_0}) \oplus (K_{2,f_0} / K_{2,(f_0,f_1)}) \oplus K_{2,(f_0,f_1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Then the fiber over (f_0, f_1) consists of maps $g : \mathbb{C}^a \otimes \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow V_{l+1}$ with nine components g_{ij} , according to the decompositions (6.2). The components g_{11}, g_{12}, g_{21} can be chosen arbitrarily (thus they move in a vector space). The component

$$g_{22} : (K_{1,f_0}/K_{1,(f_0,f_1)}) \otimes (K_{2,f_0}/K_{2,(f_0,f_1)}) \rightarrow V_{l+1}$$

is fixed and equal to f_1 . The components g_{23}, g_{32}, g_{33} are zero (since they should equal f_1). Finally, the components

$$g_{13} : K_{1,(f_0,f_1)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b/K_{2,f_0})^* \otimes V_{l+1},$$

$$g_{31} : K_{2,(f_0,f_1)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^a/K_{1,f_0})^* \otimes V_{l+1},$$

must satisfy $\text{rg}(g_{13}) = r_{l+1} - r_l - i$ and $\text{rg}(g_{31}) = s_{l+1} - s_l - i$. This implies that they move in spaces which are A.S., by Claim 1. $\square$

Claim 3. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_k, b \geq 1$ be integers and let $V_1, \dots, V_k$ be finite dimensional vector spaces. Put $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$, and consider*

$$M_{\mathbf{a}b} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \{f_i : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow V_i\}.$$

Let $0 \leq r_i \leq a_i$, and write $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$. Define

$$U_{\mathbf{r}} = \{f = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in M_{\mathbf{a}b} ; \text{rg}(f_i : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^* \otimes V_i) = r_i, \forall i, \\ \text{rg}(f : \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow \bigoplus_i (\mathbb{C}^{a_i})^* \otimes V_i) = b\}.$$

Then $U_{\mathbf{r}}$ is A.S., and $K_i \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{r}}$, defined by $K_{i,f} = \ker(f : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^ \otimes V_i)$, for $f \in U_{\mathbf{r}}$, are vector bundles of ranks $a_i - r_i$, resp.*

Proof. The case $k = 1$ is covered by Claim 2. So suppose $k > 1$. Consider integers $0 \leq p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_k = b$, and write $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$. For $1 \leq l \leq k$, define

$$U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},l} = \{f = (f_1, \dots, f_l) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^b, V_i) ; \\ \text{rg}(f_i : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^* \otimes V_i) = r_i, 1 \leq i \leq l, \\ \text{rg}(f : \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^l (\mathbb{C}^{a_i})^* \otimes V_i) = p_l\}.$$

and $U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}} = U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},k}$. We have a stratification $U_{\mathbf{r}} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{p}} U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}}$. Note that there is a vector bundle $C_l \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},l}$, defined by $C_{l,f_0} = \ker(f_0 : \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^l (\mathbb{C}^{a_i})^* \otimes V_i)$, for $f_0 \in U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},l}$, of rank $b - p_l$.

There is a fibration

$$U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},l+1} \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},l}.$$

The fiber over $f_0 \in U_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},l}$ consists of those $f_{l+1} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^b \otimes \mathbb{C}^{a_{l+1}}, V_{l+1})$ such that $f_{l+1} : \mathbb{C}^{a_{l+1}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^b)^* \otimes V_{l+1}$ has rank r_{l+1} and $f_{l+1}|_{C_{l,f_0}} : C_{l,f_0} \subset \mathbb{C}^b \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a_{l+1}})^* \otimes V_{l+1}$ has rank $p_{l+1} - p_l$.

Working similarly as in Claim 2, we can see that this fiber is A.S. $\square$

Claim 4. Take $k, q \geq 1$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 1$ and $b_1, \dots, b_q \geq 1$ be integers, and let V_{it} be finite dimensional vector spaces, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq t \leq q$. Write $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_q)$ and consider

$$M_{\mathbf{ab}} = \bigoplus_{i,t} \{f_{it} : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{b_t} \rightarrow V_{it}\}.$$

Let $0 \leq r_i \leq a_i$, and put $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$. Define

$$U_{\mathbf{r}} = \{f = (f_{it}) \in M_{\mathbf{ab}} ; \text{rg}((f_{i0t})_t : \mathbb{C}^{a_{i0}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_t (\mathbb{C}^{b_t})^* \otimes V_{i0t}) = r_{i0}, \forall i_0, \\ \text{rg}((f_{it_0})_i : \mathbb{C}^{b_{t_0}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i (\mathbb{C}^{a_i})^* \otimes V_{it_0}) = b_{t_0}, \forall t_0\}.$$

Then $U_{\mathbf{r}}$ is A.S., and $K_i \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{r}}$, defined by $K_{i,f} = \ker((f_{it})_t : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_t (\mathbb{C}^{b_t})^* \otimes V_{it})$, are vector bundles of ranks $a_i - r_i$.

Proof. Clearly the case $q = 1$ corresponds to Claim 3. For each i , consider integers $0 \leq r_{i1} \leq \dots \leq r_{iq} = r_i$, and abbreviate $\varsigma = (r_{it})$. Define the sets

$$U_{\varsigma,l} = \{f \in \bigoplus_{i,1 \leq t \leq l} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{b_t}, V_{it}) ; \text{rg}(f : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{t=1}^s (\mathbb{C}^{b_t})^* \otimes V_{it}) = r_{is}, \\ 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq s \leq l, \text{rg}(f : \mathbb{C}^{b_t} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i (\mathbb{C}^{a_i})^* \otimes V_{it}) = b_t, 1 \leq t \leq l\},$$

and $U_{\varsigma} = U_{\varsigma,q}$. Clearly $U_{\mathbf{r}} = \bigsqcup_{\varsigma} U_{\varsigma}$.

We only need to see that $U_{\varsigma,l}$ is A.S. The map $U_{\varsigma,l+1} \rightarrow U_{\varsigma,l}$ is a fiber bundle. Let $f_0 \in U_{\varsigma,l}$. Then $C_{i,f_0} = \ker(f_0 : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{t=1}^l (\mathbb{C}^{b_t})^* \otimes V_{it})$ define vector bundles of rank $a_i - r_{il}$, for all i .

The fiber of $U_{\varsigma,l+1} \rightarrow U_{\varsigma,l}$ over $f_0 \in U_{\varsigma,l}$ consists of those $\tilde{f} = (f_{i,l+1})_i \in \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{b_{l+1}}, V_{i,l+1})$ such that

$$\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C}^{b_{l+1}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i (\mathbb{C}^{a_i})^* \otimes V_{i,l+1}$$

has rank b_{l+1} , and

$$f_{i,l+1}|_{C_{i,f_0}} : C_{i,f_0} \subset \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{b_{l+1}})^* \otimes V_{i,l+1}$$

has rank $r_{i,l+1} - r_{il}$, for all i . This gives an A.S. space, as can be seen with an argument similar to the previous cases. $\square$

Claim 5. Take $k, q \geq 1$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_q)$ as before. Let V_{it} and W_i be finite dimensional vector spaces, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq t \leq q$. Consider

$$\widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{ab}} = \bigoplus_{i,t} \{f_{it} : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{b_t} \rightarrow V_{it}\} \oplus \bigoplus_i \{g_i : \mathbb{C}^{a_i} \rightarrow W_i\},$$

and

$$\widetilde{U} = \{f = (f_{it}, g_i) \in \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{ab}} ; \text{rg} \left((f_{i0t}, g_{i0})_t : \mathbb{C}^{a_{i0}} \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_t (\mathbb{C}^{b_t})^* \otimes V_{i0t} \right) \oplus W_{i0} \right) \\ = a_{i0}, \forall i_0, \text{rg} \left((f_{it_0})_i : \mathbb{C}^{b_{t_0}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i (\mathbb{C}^{a_i})^* \otimes V_{it_0} \right) = b_{t_0}, \forall t_0\}.$$

Then $\widetilde{U}$ is A.S.

Proof. There is a map $\pi : \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{ab}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{ab}}$, and denote $\widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{r}} = \pi^{-1}(U_{\mathbf{r}}) \cap \widetilde{U}$, for each $\mathbf{r}$, with notations as in Claim 4. Then $\widetilde{U} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{r}} \widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{r}}$, and the maps $\widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{r}} \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{r}}$ are fibrations whose fibers are easily seen to be A.S. The fiber over $f_0 \in U_{\mathbf{r}}$ is given by $(g_i) \in \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{a_i}, W_i)$ such that $g_i|_{K_{i,f_0}} : K_{i,f_0} \rightarrow W_i$ is injective for all i . $\square$

Now we apply these results to the fiber F_j of our map

$$p_j : M_j \rightarrow M_{j+1}.$$

For a point in M_{j+1} , the exact sequence (6.1) splits as a direct sum of exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S_i) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+2}, S_i) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S_i) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S_i) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

according to $S(\mathbf{a}_j) = \bigoplus_i S_i^{a_{ij}}$. Therefore, we can set

$$\begin{aligned} V_{it} &= \text{Ext}^1(S_t, S_i)^*, \\ W_i &= (\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+2}, S_i) / \text{Hom}(S(\mathbf{a}_{j+1}), S_i))^*, \\ \mathbf{a} &= \mathbf{a}_j, \\ \mathbf{b} &= \mathbf{a}_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, with the notations of Claim 5, we have that $\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) = \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{ab}}$, and the extensions in $\text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j))$ giving rise to triples $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ which are σ_c^+ -stable are

$$F_j = \text{Ext}^1(\bar{\bar{T}}_{j+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_j)) \cap M_{j+1} = \widetilde{U} \subset \widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{ab}},$$

by Proposition 5.1. So the fiber of the map p_j is A.S., as required. $\square$

Remark 6.2. The same description works for $\sigma_c = \sigma_m$ with the condition $d/n - d_2 > 2g - 2$. Let us see this.

By Remark 5.2, the elements in M_{r+1} are of the form $\bar{\bar{T}}_{r+1} = (0, L, 0)$, so $M_{r+1} = \text{Jac}^{d_0} X$. When studying the map $\pi_r : \bar{M}_r \rightarrow M_{r+1}$, we cannot now apply Lemma 4.3 to prove the vanishing of $\mathbb{H}^2$. However, the condition $d/n - d_2 > 2g - 2$ ensures that, for any $S(\mathbf{a}_r) = (F_r, 0, 0)$, where F_r is a polystable bundle of slope d/n , we have $\mathbb{H}^2(\bar{\bar{T}}_{r+1}, S(\mathbf{a}_r)) = H^1(F_r \otimes L^*) = 0$, using Proposition 4.1. With this information, we have that π_r is a fiber bundle, and the rest of the argument can be carried out as before.

The construction of $\tilde{\tilde{X}}^-(\mathbf{n})$ is entirely similar. Start with

$$M_1 = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n}) = \tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}) \times \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s(n', 1, d', d_o).$$

Define by induction M_j as the space parametrizing the triples $\bar{\bar{T}}_j$ of Proposition 5.3, as follows: consider the vector bundle $\pi_j : \bar{M}_j \rightarrow M_{j-1}$ whose fibers are the spaces $\text{Ext}^1(S(\mathbf{a}_j), \bar{\bar{T}}_{j-1})$. Then let $M_j \subset \bar{M}_j$ be the subset corresponding to those extensions ξ_j satisfying (1) and (2) of Proposition 5.3. The space we are interested in is $\tilde{\tilde{X}}^-(\mathbf{n}) := M_{r+1}$.

We have the following result.

Theorem 6.3. *Consider the fibration $p_j : M_j \rightarrow M_{j-1}$. Then p_j is a locally trivial fibration (in the Zariski topology), and the fiber F_j is an A.S. space* $\square$

7. HODGE STRUCTURES OF MODULI OF TRIPLES

Let X be a complex curve of genus $g \geq 2$. We aim to find information on the Hodge structures of the cohomology of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, d, d_o)$, for non-critical values σ , and on the moduli spaces $M(n, d)$. Consider the Hodge structure $H^1(X)$ and set

$$R_X = \{H^1(X)\}.$$

Let us see that the Hodge structures of the moduli spaces of pairs and of bundles are R_X -generated.

Lemma 7.1. *The cohomology of $\text{Jac } X$ is R_X -generated. The cohomology of $\text{Sym}^k X$ is R_X -generated, for any $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. The first assertion is clear, since $H^*(\text{Jac } X)$ is the exterior algebra on $H^1(X)$. For the case of the symmetric products of X , note that Macdonald [9] proves that there is an epimorphism of rings

$$\bigwedge^* H^1(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\theta] \twoheadrightarrow H^*(\text{Sym}^k X), \quad (7.1)$$

where θ is the hyperplane class, which is defined as the class of the divisor $D = \text{Sym}^{k-1} X \subset \text{Sym}^k X$. Clearly, this is a class in $H^{1,1}(\text{Sym}^k X) \cap H^2(\text{Sym}^k X, \mathbb{Z})$, hence (7.1) is a morphism of Hodge structures. This proves that $H^*(\text{Sym}^k X)$ is R_X -generated. $\square$

Note that $M(1, d) = \text{Jac } X$ and $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(1, 1, d_1, d_2) = \text{Jac } X \times \text{Sym}^{d_2-d_1} X$. So Lemma 7.1 serves as starting point for an induction (on the rank) to prove that all Hodge structures of all moduli spaces are R_X -generated.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. Assume that all the Hodge structures $H_c^*(M^s(n', d'))$ and $H_c^*(\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(n', 1, d', d_o))$ are R_X -generated for $n' < n$. Then for any critical value $\sigma_c > \sigma_m$ and for any type $\mathbf{n}$, the Hodge structures $H_c^*(X^+(\mathbf{n}))$ are R_X -generated. The same happens for $\sigma_c = \sigma_m$ and for any type $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{n}_0 = (n, d, 1)$ ($\mathbf{n}_0$ corresponds to the stratum $\mathcal{U}_m \subset \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m}^+$, c.f. Remark 5.2).*

The same result holds for the Hodge structures $H_c^(X^-(\mathbf{n}))$.*

Proof. If all $H_c^*(M^s(n', d'))$ and $H_c^*(\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(n', 1, d', d_o))$ are R_X -generated for $n' < n$, then all $H_c^*(\tilde{U}(\mathbf{n}))$ and $H_c^*(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n}))$ are also R_X -generated. By Theorem 6.1, $\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n})$ is a fibration whose fiber F is A.S. By Corollary 2.2, $H_c^*(F)$ is a direct sum of trivial Hodge structures. Using the Leray spectral sequence, we get that $H_c^*(\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}))$ is R_X -generated.

Now we want to see that $H_c^*(\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}))$ is R_X -generated. First note that by Poincaré duality, this is equivalent to see that $H^*(\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}))$ is R_X -generated. Now $\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow$

$\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n})$ is a locally trivial fibration, with fibers $\mathrm{GL} = \prod \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{a}_j)$. Therefore there is a fibration

$$\tilde{\tilde{X}}^+(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow P\mathrm{GL},$$

where $P\mathrm{GL}$ is the classifying space for GL . By the Leray spectral sequence, the cohomology of $\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n})$ is generated by that of $\tilde{\tilde{X}}^+(\mathbf{n})$ and the pull-back of the cohomology in $H^*(P\mathrm{GL})$. Let us see that the pull-back of $H^*(P\mathrm{GL})$ is a trivial Hodge structure. Consider the following spaces $\hat{M}_j$. First $\hat{M}_{r+1} = M_{r+1}$, and $\hat{M}_j \rightarrow \hat{M}_{j+1}$ are fibrations with fibers $\hat{F}_j = \prod_i V(a_{ij}, \mathrm{Ext}^1(\bar{T}_{j+1}, S_i))$. That is, extensions as in Proposition 5.1 but only satisfying property (1). Clearly, $M_1 \subset \hat{M}_1$. On the other hand, we still have an action of GL on $\hat{M}_1$, whose quotient $\hat{M}_1$ is constructed as follows: $\hat{M}_{r+1} = M_{r+1}$, and $\hat{M}_j \rightarrow \hat{M}_{j+1}$ are fibrations with fibers $\hat{F}_j = \prod_i \mathrm{Gr}(a_{ij}, \mathrm{Ext}^1(\bar{T}_{j+1}, S_i))$.

There is a diagram whose rows are fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\tilde{X}}^+(\mathbf{n}) & \rightarrow & \tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}) & \rightarrow & P\mathrm{GL} \\ \cap & & \cap & & \parallel \\ \hat{M}_1 & \rightarrow & \hat{M}_1 & \rightarrow & P\mathrm{GL}. \end{array}$$

So the pull-back of the cohomology of $P\mathrm{GL}$ to $\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n})$ factors through the cohomology of $\hat{M}_1$, which is an iterated Grassmannian bundle. By Corollary 2.2, and the usual A.S. of the Grassmannian given by Schubert cells, we have that the cohomology of the fiber is a trivial Hodge structure. As the cohomology of the base of this fibration is R_X -generated, we get that the cohomology $H_c^*(\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}))$ is R_X -generated.

Finally, $X^+(\mathbf{n}) = \tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n})/F$, with F defined in (5.6). Thus we have that $H_c^*(X^+(\mathbf{n})) = H_c^*(\tilde{X}^+(\mathbf{n}))^F$ is R_X -generated as well. $\square$

Proposition 7.3. *If $H_c^*(X^\pm(\mathbf{n}))$ are R_X -generated, for all critical values σ_c and for all types $\mathbf{n}$ (with the exception of $\sigma_c = \sigma_m$ and $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{n}_o = (n, d, 1)$), then $H_c^*(M^s(n, d))$ and $H_c^*(\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(n, 1, d, d_o))$, for any σ , are R_X -generated.*

Proof. This is a repeated application of Lemma 4.5. For $\sigma_c > \sigma_m$, $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^\pm} = \bigsqcup X^\pm(\mathbf{n})$, so the (mixed) Hodge structures of these spaces are R_X -generated. The same argument works for $\mathcal{D}_m = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{n}_o} X^+(\mathbf{n}) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+}$.

This implies first that $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^-} = \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_m^-}$ has cohomology $H_c^*(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^-})$ which is R_X -generated. Then we work inductively on σ . If $H_c^*(\mathcal{N}_\sigma)$ is R_X -generated for any $\sigma > \sigma_c$, then $H_c^*(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s)$ is R_X -generated, as $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^+} - \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^+}$, and then $H_c^*(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-})$ is R_X -generated as $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^-} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c}^s \sqcup \mathcal{S}_{\sigma_c^-}$.

Finally $H_c^*(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+})$ is R_X -generated. But $H_c^*(\mathcal{D}_m)$ is also R_X -generated. So $H_c^*(\mathcal{U}_m)$ is R_X -generated, since $\mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_m^+} - \mathcal{D}_m$. Taking $d/n - d_o > 2g - 2$, we have a projective fibration $\mathcal{U}_m \rightarrow M^s(n, d) \times \mathrm{Jac}^{d_o} X$, by [12, Proposition 4.10] (see Remarks 5.2 and 6.2). So $H_c^*(M^s(n, d))$ is R_X -generated as well. $\square$

Propositions 7.2 and 7.3 together prove Theorem 1.3, and this implies in particular Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

Remark 7.4. The same kind of question can be asked about the moduli spaces of triples and of bundles of fixed determinant. Let $M(n, L_0)$ denote the moduli space of semistable bundles E over X of rank n and satisfying $\det(E) \cong L_0$, where L_0 is a fixed line bundle of degree d . Consider also the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(n, 1, L_1, L_2)$ of σ -polystable triples (E_1, L_2, ϕ) with E_1 a rank n bundle with $\det(E_1) = L_1$, where L_1, L_2 are fixed line bundles of degrees d_1, d_2 , resp.

The same techniques developed in Sections 3–7 can be used to prove that the Hodge structures of $M^s(n, L_0)$ and of $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(n, 1, L_1, L_2)$ are R_X -generated.

REFERENCES

- [1] ARAPURA, D.; KANG, S.-J.: Coniveau and the Grothendieck group of varieties. *Michigan Math. J.* **54** (2006) 611–622.
- [2] ATIYAH, M. F.; BOTT, R.: The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **308** (1982) 523–615.
- [3] BERTRAM, A.: Stable pairs and stable parabolic pairs. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **3** (1994), no. 4, 703–724.
- [4] BRADLOW, S. B.; DASKALOPOULOS: Moduli of stable pairs for holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces. *Internat. J. Math.* **2** (1991) 477–513.
- [5] BRADLOW, S. B.; GARCÍA-PRADA, O.: Stable triples, equivariant bundles and dimensional reduction. *Math. Ann.* **304** (1996) 225–252.
- [6] BRADLOW, S. B.; GARCÍA-PRADA, O.; GOTHEN, P.B: Moduli spaces of holomorphic triples over compact Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **328** (2004) 299–351.
- [7] DELIGNE, P.: Théorie de Hodge I,II,III. In *Proc. I.C.M.*, vol. 1, 1970, pp. 425–430; in *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **40** (1971) 5–58; *ibid.* **44** (1974) 5–77.
- [8] GARCÍA-PRADA, O.: Dimensional reduction of stable bundles, vortices and stable pairs. *Internat. J. Math.* **5** (1994) 1–52.
- [9] MACDONALD, I.G.: Symmetric products of an algebraic curve. *Topology* **1** (1962), 319–343.
- [10] MUÑOZ, V.: Hodge polynomials of the moduli spaces of rank 3 pairs. *Geometriae Dedicata* **136** (2008) 17–46.
- [11] MUÑOZ, V.: Torelli theorem for the moduli spaces of pairs. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Math. Soc.* To appear.
- [12] MUÑOZ, V.; ORTEGA, D.; VÁZQUEZ-GALLO, M.-J.: Hodge polynomials of the moduli spaces of pairs. *Internat. J. Math.* **18** (2007) 695–721.
- [13] PETERS, C.A.M.; STEENBRINK, J.H.M.: *Mixed Hodge Structures*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3 Folge, 25. Springer.
- [14] SCHMITT, A.: A universal construction for the moduli spaces of decorated vector bundles. *Transform. Groups* **9** (2004) 167–209.

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS, SERRANO 113 BIS, 28006 MADRID, SPAIN

FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, PLAZA CIENCIAS 3, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: vicente.munoz@imaff.cfmac.csic.es